

# THE EFFICIENCY OF BLASTING VERSES CRUSHING AND GRINDING

by  
Jack Eloranta  
1997

## Source Reference

-----  
Eloranta, J.W. 1997, Efficiency of Blasting vs. Crushing & Grinding, Proceedings of the twenty-third conference of Explosives and Blasting Technique, Las Vegas, Nevada, February 2-6, 1997. International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, Ohio  
-----

## ABSTRACT

This paper compares energy requirements for blasting, crushing and grinding. By tracking electrical consumption for various powder factors, a general trend has appeared. This study involves over 100 million tons of ore and powder factors ranging from .5 lbs/LT to .8 lbs/LT. Actual energy usage is compared to predicted energy based on the Bond equation. Blasting may enjoy as much as a 3:1 cost advantage over grinding. This is a startling notion considering that energy is cheaper when purchased as electricity by ratio of 5:1 when compared to powder. This combination suggests that the blasting process has a marginal efficiency advantage of 15:1. Estimates of 1% to 2% efficiency for grinding and 15% to 30% for blasting would fit this ratio.

## INTRODUCTION

Modern comminution theory goes back to 19th century Germany where Rittinger(1867) and Kick(1885) proposed models based on surface area and particle volume respectively. Bond(1951) proposed a third theory of comminution which is still widely used today. King and Shneider(1995) at the University of Utah have very recently demonstrated improved modeling of grinding circuits. Overall blast optimization has more recent roots. MacKenzie(1966) reported on costs in iron ore from drilling through crushing. Udy and Thornley (1977) reviewed optimization through crushing. Gold(1987) tabulated and modeled overall mining cost related to blasting at Fording Coal. LeJuge and Cox(1995) reported overall costs in quarrying. Eloranta(1995) published costs in iron ore from blasting through grinding. Moody et al(1996) related dig times, crusher speeds and particle size to fragmentation in quarry operations. Furstenau (1995) used single-particle roll mill crushing to demonstrate a 10% energy savings in the drilling through grinding process by increasing powder factor by 25%. Recent laboratory work has been aimed at tying mine and processing size reduction to common factors. These efforts include the work of Revnivitsev(1988) who related microcracks from blasting to energy use in subsequent crushing and grinding. McCarter(1996) has quantified blast preconditioning through the use of a ultra fast load cell. Nielsen(1996) has done extensive grinding tests on preconditioned rock and demonstrated changes in Bond work indices of nearly 3 to 1.

## **BOND EQUATION**

In the early 1950's, Bond proposed an equation for comminution which was based on feed size, product size and a rock property factor.

$$W = 10W_i(1/P^{.5} - 1/F^{.5})$$

where:

W = work input in kw-hr/ton

W<sub>i</sub> = work index for rock type in kw-hr/ton

P = product size (80% passing) in microns

F = feed size (80% passing) in microns

Although recent work, including that of King and Schneider (1995) and by McCarter (1995) has advanced comminution theory; the Bond approach will be used in this study to tie in to the wealth of case histories over the past half century.

## **SIZE REDUCTION**

The in-situ size of the rock mass is unknown. Attempts to quantify the size include measurement of bed thickness as seen in drill core (Eloranta,1996). Drill core was examined for breaks in the core along bedding planes. The joint frequency in the bedding planes was found to be much greater than in the vertical planes. The in-situ pieces therefore tend to be tabular with an aspect ratio of about 1:2:3. However the imprecision of this value is not a significant problem since Bond energy is relatively insensitive to in-situ size. Whether it is 1 m or 10 m; the work in blasting pales beside the work done in grinding to 60 microns. In-situ size is estimated at 4 m (13 ft.)for the purposes of this analysis. Run of mine rock size is measured as it enters the primary crusher through the use of a video camera and a pc-based, digital image analyzer.(Grannes,1994) A size of 80% passing .5 m(19 in.) is used. Crushing is done in three stages, reducing to 80% passing 2 cm (3/4 inch). Rod and ball mills take the ore down to an 80% passing size of 60 microns (270 mesh).

## **METHODOLOGY**

The Bond equation is a useful tool to compare predicted and actual values of energy requirements for each step in taconite comminution. Crushing and grinding energy are measured in kw-hrs per long ton. The following conversions are used to put powder in the same units.

If:

1kcal = 1.163watt-hours

1kcal/gram = 452 k-cal/lb

powder factor = .9 lbs powder/long ton rock

relative weight strength(rws) = .9 (compared to anfo)

cost of powder = \$0.20/lb

And:

$$(k\text{-cal/lb anfo}) * (kw\text{-hr/k-cal}) * rws = kw\text{-hr/lb of powder}$$

$$(kw\text{-hr/lb of powder}) * (\text{powder factor}) = kw\text{-hr/ltone rock}$$

Then explosive energy is .473 kw-hr/lb and blast energy is .426 kw-hr/long ton. Since the measured energy for size reduction from in-situ to final product is known; the value for the Bond work index can be calculated. This value is then used to estimate the energy required for each step: blasting crushing and grinding. Now these values can be compared to measured energy usage.

## RESULTS

The following table summarizes actual energy usage for size reduction.

**TABLE 1**

	<b>KW-HR/LTON</b>	<b>\$/KW-HR</b>	<b>\$/LT</b>
<b>Blast</b>	0.43	\$0.38	\$0.16
<b>Crush</b>	3.24	\$0.07	\$0.23
<b>Grind</b>	17.82	\$0.07	\$1.25

Summarizing Bond calculations and actual energy for size reduction. (Wi = 16.71)

**TABLE 2**

<b>PROCESS</b>	<b>FEED</b>	<b>PRODUCT</b>	<b>W(CALC)</b>	<b>W(Actual)</b>	<b>Apparent</b>
	<b>SIZE</b>	<b>SIZE</b>	kw-hr/lt	kw-hr/lt	<b>Efficiency</b>
<b>Blast</b>	4 m	.5 m	.15	0.43	36%
<b>Crush</b>	.5 m	2 cm	.95	3.24	29%
<b>Grind</b>	2 cm	60 micron	20.39	17.82	114%
<b>TOTAL</b>	4 m	60 micron	21.49	21.49	

Table 1 shows a dramatic difference in energy cost between powder energy and electrical energy. Table 2 shows that blasting consumes .43 kw-hr/ltone, whereas Bond predicts only .15 kw-hr/ltone. The apparent efficiency of blasting would seem to be 36%. Crushing consumes 3.24 kw-hr/ltone compared to a Bond prediction of .95 kw-hr/ltone; resulting in an apparent efficiency of 29%. Grinding consumes 17.82 kw-hr/ltone compared to a Bond prediction of 20.39 kw-hr/ltone; resulting in an apparent efficiency of 114%.

## DISCUSSION

The apparent efficiencies are useful when compared to other estimates of published absolute efficiency estimates.

PROCESS	EFFICEINCY	AUTHOR
Blast	20%	Brinkman(1987)
Crush	.70-80%	Morrrell(1992)
Grind	1%	Willis(1988) Hukki(1975)

The most striking difference lies in the grinding efficiencies. Why should grinding take less energy than predicted? Perhaps the Bond work index value was changed in the course of blasting. The role of blasting in metal mines may include pre-conditioning as well as size reduction. The overall efficiency of blasting on a cost basis may be three times that of grinding(Eloranta,1995). The cost of energy in powder is at least 5 times higher than electricity as shown in Table 1. This would make blasting at least 15 times more efficient than grinding at the margin. This would be consistent with grinding estimates of 1% to 2% and blasting estimates of 15% to 30%.

## IMPLICATIONS ON OPTIMIZATION

The above discussion of efficiencies allows us to apply these findings to overall optimization of size reduction. Speculative cost curves can now be replaced with known data. Figure 1 summarizes the overall cost for various anfo equivalent powder factors(aepf). Drill and blast costs rise linearly with powder factor and are easily predicted. Processing costs are known only up to .74 aepf and are assumed to asymptotically approach a minimum of \$.50/ long ton. The author provides no justification for that cost. However, regardless of the actual minimum, it can be seen that the slope of the right limb of the curve is much shallower than the left limb. The lesson here appears to be that the penalty for under-shooting is greater than over-shooting the rock.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Mick Lownds of Viking Explosives for useful discussions. Discussions with Frank Klima of Superior Drillbits provided invaluable insight.

## REFERENCES:

Bond, F.C. 1951, The Third Theory of Comminution, Meeting of AIME in Mexico City, October 1951 in Mining Engineering, May 1952, pp 484-494

Duevel, B. 1994, Preliminary Analysis of Drill Core Slides, unpublished report to author.

Eloranta, J.W. 1992, Cap Testing at the Minntac Mine. proceedings of the eighteenth conference of Explosives and Blasting Technique, Orlando, Florida, January 19-23,1992. International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, Ohio, pp 205-211

Eloranta, J.W. 1993, Practical Blast Evaluation at The Minntac Mine proceedings of the nineteenth conference of Explosives and Blasting Technique, San Diego, California January 31-February 4, 1993. International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, Ohio, pp 101-107

Eloranta, J.W. 1994, Stemming Selection for Large-Diameter Blast Holes. proceedings of the twentieth conference of Explosives and Blasting Technique, Austin, Texas, January 30-February 3,1994. International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, Ohio, pp 255-272

Eloranta, J.W. 1995, The Effect of Fragmentation on Downstream Processing Costs. Proceedings of Explo95 Conference, Brisbane, Qld, Australia, Sept. 4-7, 1995 pp 25-28

Eloranta, J.W. 1996, Geologic Data for Blasting, Proceedings of the twenty-second conference of Explosives and Blasting Technique, Orlando, Florida, February 4-8, 1996. International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, Ohio, pp 107-117

Fuerstenau, M. C., Chi, G. and Bradt, R. C., 1995, Optimization of Energy Utilization and Production Costs in Mining and Ore Preparation. XIX International Mineral Processing Congress, San Francisco, California. Oct. pp 161-164

Grannes, S. et al, 1994, Recent Advances in Digital Image Analysis. Proceedings of The 67th Annual Meeting of the Minnesota Section of the SME. pp 105-116, Duluth, Minnesota, 1994

Hendricks, C., Peck, J. Scoble, M. 1992, An Automated Approach to Blast Optimization Through Performance Monitoring of Blast Hole Drills and Mining Shovels, 4th High Tech Seminar on Blasting, Nashville, Tennessee June 1992

Hukki, R. T., 1975, The Principles of Comminution: an Analytical Summary, Engineering and Mining Journal, Vol. 176 pp 106-110

Kick, F., Das Gesetz der proportionalen Widerstand und Siene Anwendung. Liepzig, 1885

LeJuge, G. E. and Cox N., 1995, The Impact of Explosive Performance on Quarry Fragmentation. Proceedings of Explo95 Conference, Brisbane, Qld, Australia, Sept. 4 - 7, 1995 pp 445-452

Lownds, C. 1995, Prediction of Fragmentation Based on Energy Distribution of Explosives. Proceedings of the twenty-first conference of Explosives and Blasting Technique, Nashville, Tennessee, February 5-9, 1995. International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, Ohio, pp 286-297

- Lownds, C. 1996, Derivation of Fracture Intensity from Measured Fragmentation. Proceedings of the twenty-second conference of Explosives and Blasting Technique, Orlando, Florida, February 4-8, 1996. International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, Ohio.
- McCarter, M. K. 1996, Effect of Blast Preconditioning on Comminution for Selected Rock Types. Proceedings of the twenty-second conference of Explosives and Blasting Technique, Orlando, Florida, February 4-8, 1996. International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, Ohio, pp 119-129
- Moody, L., Cunningham, C. and Lourens, H. 1996, Measuring the effect of blasting fragmentation on hard rock quarrying operations. Proceedings of FRAGBLAST5, Fragmentation by Blasting pp 353-359, Montreal, Quebec, Canada August 25 - 29, 1996
- Nielsen, K. and Kristiansen, J., 1995. Blasting and Grinding - An Integrated Comminution System. Proceedings of Explo95 Conference pp 113-117, Brisbane, Qld, Australia, Sept. 4 - 7, 1995
- Nielsen, K. and Kristiansen, J., 1996. Blasting- Crushing-Grinding: Optimisation of an Integrated Comminution System. Proceedings of FRAGBLAST5, Fragmentation by Blasting pp 269-277, Montreal, Quebec, Canada August 25 - 29, 1996
- Morrell S. et al, 1992, The Prediction of Power Draw in Comminution Machines. Comminution - Theory and Practice, pp 233-247. International Society of Mining Engineers, Littleton, Colorado
- Plummer, W.L. 1983, Data Sheet No. 38, Factors and Computations to Convert Laboratory Data to Minntac Milled Products, unpublished document, USX Corp.
- Revnitsev, V. I. 1988, We really Need a Revolution in Comminution. Proceedings of XVI International Minerals Processing Congress, pp 93-114
- Rittinger, P. R., 1867, Lehrbauch der Aufbereitungskunde. Berlin, 1867
- Schneider, C. L. and King, R. P. 1995, A Comprehensive Simulation of an Industrial Comminution Circuit Treating Taconite. XIX International Mineral Processing Congress, San Francisco, California. Oct. 1995
- Tarasenko, V. P. 1996, Controlling the patterns of fragmentation in blasting and mechanical crushing operations. Proceedings of FRAGBLAST5, Fragmentation by Blasting pp 293-296, Montreal, Quebec, Canada August 25 - 29, 1996
- Willis, B. A. 1988 Enhancement of Mineral Liberation, Proceedings of XVI International Minerals Processing Congress, pp 293-297